

Loss estimation in cotton due to cotton leaf curl disease under various sowing environments

ANUPAM MAHARSHI, N. K. YADAV* AND JAGDISH BENIWAL Department of Plant Pathology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar - 125 004 *E-mail: yadavnk67@gmail.com

ABSTRCT : Cotton has great economic importance in Agricultural and Industrial development by earning foreign exchange through export of its raw materials as well finished product. Cotton leaf curl disease (CLCuD) is one of the major emerging threats responsible for decreased productivity and production in north western India. Two *Bt* cotton hybrids (SP7007 and Jai *Bt*) and two non *Bt* varieties (H1098i and H1300) were sown on three different dates of sowing at CCS Haryana Agriculture University, Cotton Research Station, Sirsa to determine seed cotton yield reduction. After 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing (DAS) all the plants showing symptoms of CLCuD were tagged and properly labelled. Early sown crop showed lowest percent disease intensity 16.7 per cent at picking in cultivar H1098i. Yield attributing characters of diseased plants decreased significantly on all the dates of sowing at all the stages (60, 90 and 120 DAS) of infection. Minimum mean reduction in bolls/plant, boll weight (g) and seed cotton yield/plant was recorded in early sown crop. Losses in yield attributing characters were more when CLCuD appeared at initial stage of infection *i.e.* 60 DAS. Conclusively, early sowing is recommended to avoid CLCuD infection.

Key words : Cotton leaf curl disease, loss estimation, sowing dates

Cotton occupies the most prominent position in the agriculture scenario of the country, as well as Haryana owing to its importance as a cash crop. It is known as the king of fibres and is also called as "white gold" playing a key role in many socioeconomic aspects of the world. In India, it occupies an area of about 118.81 lakh ha with total production 352 lakh bales and average yield is 503 kg/ha. In Haryana, cotton is grown on area of 5.76 lakh ha with total and average production of 14 lakh bales and 502 kg/ha, respectively (Annonymous, 2016). Several environmental calamities including both biotic and abiotic factors are the major threats to cotton production. Among several constraints Cotton leaf curl disease

(CLCuD) is one of major responsible factor for decreased productivity in northwestern India. The disease caused by Cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV) exclusively transmitted by whitefly first noticed in Nigeria on Gossypium peruvianumand G. vitifolia. CLCuD was first reported in India on American cotton (G. hirsutum) in Sriganganagar area of Rajasthan state during 1993 and during 1994 it appeared in Haryana and Punjab states on G.hirsutumcotton. In India, CLCuD is the major constraint of cotton production in north western region and causes yield loss to the tune of 79 per cent depending upon the stage of infection and cultivar (Hundalet al., 2011). Amid various management factors to boost up per acre yield of cotton, resistant genotype selection,

optimal sowing time and higher plant density toning the ecological conditions of the region is the most important (Nadeem *et al.*, 2010). Source of resistance against cotton leaf curl disease also an effective tool to overcome the CLCuD incidence (Maharshi *et al.*, 2016; Yadav *et al.*, 2016).

The experimental material encompassed four cotton cultivars viz. Two Bt cotton hybrids (SP7007 BGII and Jai Bt BGII) and two non Bt varieties (H1098i and H1300). The experiment was conducted on three date of sowing *i.e.* 29th april, 2014 (1st date of sowing), 14th May, 2014 (2nddate of sowing) and 27th May, 2014 (3rddate of sowing) at CCS Harvana Agricultural University Cotton Research Station, Sirsa during kharif, 2014. Each sowing was done in a split plot design with four cultivars and replicated thrice.All conventional agronomical practices were followed to grow good crop. After 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing (DAS) plants showing symptoms of cotton leaf curl were tagged and properly labeled and numbered in all dates of sowing. Simultaneously, the plants which remained free from cotton leaf curl disease symptoms were tagged and labelled properly as healthy.

Observations recorded :

Per cent disease intensity (PDI) was recorded as per the disease scale of All India Coordinated Cotton Improvement Project (AICCIP) and calculated by the formula given below:

Sum of all the numerical ratings of plants observed

Total no. of plants observed x Maximum grade

x 100

- Yield component characters viz., Average boll weight (g), average bolls/plant and seed cotton yield (g/plant) of tagged healthy and diseased plants were recorded.
- Per cent loss in yield and other characters was worked out by the formula given below:

Data analysis : Computer programme OPSTAT was used for all the statistical analysis of the research field data.

CLCuD is a big menace constituting a primary limit on the productivity of cotton. CLCuD disease appears in severe form causing heavy losses in cotton yield and productivity. It was found that among the three sowing dates, plants from 27th May sown crop showed highest per cent disease intensity (54.4, 39.2 and 29.7%) at picking in the Jai *Bt* hybrid from the plants tagged at 60, 90 and 120 DAS respectively. Whereas, plants from 29th April sown crop showed lowest per cent disease intensity (16.7, 18.3 and 23.3%) at the picking in cultivar H1098i tagged at 60, 90 and 120 DAS respectively (Table 1). Presence of CLCuD have potent impact on the number of bolls, boll weight and seed cotton yield on all three dates of sowing, in all four cultivars at all the stages of infection *i.e.* 60, 90 and 120 DAS. Number of bolls, boll weight and seed cotton vield of diseased plants also differed significantly as compared to healthy plants.

Maximum decrease in number of bolls

Cultivar	Per o	ent disease intensity (D	AS)
	60	90	120
SP 7007	33.3	25.5	16.7
Jai Bt	34.4	26.7	21.3
H1098i	23.3	18.3	16.7
H1300	31.9	21.6	16.7
SP 7007	41.6	26.6	23.6
Jai Bt	41.7	31.1	23.3
H1098i	33.3	31.9	23.6
H1300	38.3	33.3	25.0
SP 7007	47.5	38.1	25.8
Jai Bt	54.4	39.2	29.7
H1098i	34.7	33.6	25.0
H1300	41.7	34.4	25.3
	Cultivar SP 7007 Jai <i>Bt</i> H1098i H1300 SP 7007 Jai <i>Bt</i> H1098i H1300 SP 7007 Jai <i>Bt</i> H1098i H1300 H1300	Cultivar Per c 60 SP 7007 33.3 Jai Bt 34.4 H1098i 23.3 H1300 31.9 SP 7007 41.6 Jai Bt 41.7 H1098i 33.3 H1300 38.3 SP 7007 47.5 Jai Bt 54.4 H1098i 34.7 H1300 41.7	Cultivar Per cent disease intensity (D 60 90 SP 7007 33.3 25.5 Jai Bt 34.4 26.7 H1098i 23.3 18.3 H1300 31.9 21.6 SP 7007 41.6 26.6 Jai Bt 41.7 31.1 H1098i 33.3 31.9 H1300 38.3 33.3 SP 7007 47.5 38.1 Jai Bt 54.4 39.2 H1098i 34.7 33.6 H1098i 34.7 34.4

Table 1. Per cent disease intensity in cotton cultivars in different date of sowing

was observed on 3rd date of sowing (28.09, 18.35 and 9.25 %); whereas, minimum on 1st date of sowing *i.e.*15.25, 7.69 and 2.84 at 60, 90 and 120 DAS respectively. Highest loss (28.09 %) was recorded in 3rd date of sowing at 1st stage of infection. The average loss in number of bolls of all the cultivars were 20.18, 11.91 and 4.76 per cent at 1st, 2nd and 3rd stage of infection respectively and overall mean reduction in number of bolls of all the four cultivars was 12.18 per cent (Table 2). Reduction in boll weight was observed high in 3rd date of sowing (8.35, 6.57 and 5.45%); whereas, low reduction in boll weight was recorded on 1st date of sowing *i.e.* 6.09, 4.59 and 3.40 per cent at 60, 90 and 120 DAS respectively. Maximum loss (8.35 %) in boll weight was recorded on 3rd date of sowing at 60 DAS. The average per cent decrease in boll weight of all the cultivars was 6.82, 5.47 and 4.50 at 1st, 2nd and 3rd stage of infection, respectively; whereas, overall mean reduction in boll weight of all the four cultivars was calculated as 5.60 per cent (Table 3).

In line with other yield contributing

characters, CLCuD also decreased the seed cotton yield (SCY) on all three dates of sowing. Maximum decrease in SCY (35.48%, 23.47% and 14.06 % at 60, 90 and 120 DAS, respectively) was observed in 3rd date of sowing followed by 2nd and 1st date of sowing. The average percent decrease in SCY of all the three sowing was 27.30, 17.50 and 9.63 at 1^{st} , 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} stage of infection respectively. Maximum loss in SCYwas observed when disease appeared in initial stage of infection *i.e.* 60 DAS whereas, minimum in 3rd stage of infection *i.e.*120 DAS. The average percent reduction in SCY of all the cultivars were 25.88, 16.63 and 9.03 at 1^{st} , 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} stage of infection, respectively and overall mean decrease in SCY of all the four cultivars was calculated as 17.18 per cent (Table 4). Early sowing is preferable to avoid CLCuD infestation in the field as there is less disease intensity as well as less impact on cotton production. The results are in agreement with the findings of Ali et al., (2014) that early sowing reduces the problem of CLCuD and enhanced cotton productivity and delay in sowing cotton crop

cultivars on	different dat	te of sowing								
Treatment	1 st Stage	(60 DAS)	Loss/	2 nd Stage	(90 DAS)	Loss/	3 rd Stage	(120 DAS)	Loss/	Mean
	Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	per cent reduction
Date of sowing										
1st- 29th April	37.48	31.77	15.25	37.73	34.83	7.69	37.85	36.78	2.84	8.59
2nd-1 4 th May	36.48	29.08	20.27	36.66	32.32	11.84	36.94	35.58	3.70	11.94
3rd- 27 th May	36.58	26.30	28.09	36.74	30.00	18.35	37.10	33.67	9.25	18.57
CD (p=0.05)	N. S.	2.37		N. S.	2.32		N. S.	2.30		
SE (m)	0.42	0.59		0.42	0.58		0.46	0.57		
Average			21.20			12.63			5.26	13.03
Cultivars										
SP 7007	46.30	34.92	24.57	46.53	39.63	14.83	47.00	43.44	7.57	15.66
JAI Bt	41.26	30.39	26.34	41.40	34.49	16.69	41.59	38.60	7.19	16.74
H 1098i	28.83	24.79	14.03	29.00	26.33	9.20	29.13	28.48	2.25	8.49
H 1300	30.99	26.10	15.78	31.23	29.07	6.93	31.47	30.83	2.01	8.24
CD (p=0.05)	1.34	2.28		1.30	2.30		1.58	2.38		
SE (m)	0.45	0.76		0.43	0.77		0.53	0.78		
Average			20.18			11.91			4.76	12.28

Table 2. No. of bolls/plant of healthy and diseased plants and percentage reduction in number of bolls due to CLCuD in different cotton

DAS= Days after sowing

Healthy Diseased reduction Healthy Diseased reduction γ_{00} γ_{00} γ_{00} Healthy Diseased reduction Healthy Diseased reduction γ_{00} γ_{00} γ_{00} Healthy Diseased reduction γ_{00}	Treatment	1 st Stage	(60 DAS)	Loss/	2 nd Stage	e (90 DAS)	Loss/	3rd Stage	(120 DAS)	Loss/	Mean
Date of sowing Date of sowing $1^{*1}.29^{th}$ April 3.97 3.73 6.09 4.01 3.83 4.59 4.03 3.89 3.40 $2^{atd}.14^{th}$ May 3.97 3.73 6.09 4.01 3.83 3.87 3.87 3.73 6.99 4.01 3.82 3.40 $2^{atd}.27^{th}$ May 3.93 3.53 3.63 6.57 3.91 3.70 5.45 3.4^{th} May 3.87 3.55 8.35 8.35 3.60 6.11 3.77 3.99 3.70 5.45 2^{th} May 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.11 -1 0.11 -1 0.11 -1 0.11 -1 0.11 -1 0.11 0.13 0.11 0.13 0.11		Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	per cent reduction
1*.20 ⁴ April 3.97 3.73 6.09 4.01 3.83 4.59 4.03 3.89 3.46 2**-14 ⁴ May 3.93 3.69 6.11 3.97 3.75 5.57 4.01 3.89 3.46 2**-14 ⁴ May 3.93 3.69 6.11 3.97 3.75 5.57 4.01 3.82 4.69 2**-14 ⁴ May 3.87 3.55 8.35 3.83 3.63 6.57 3.91 3.82 4.69 3**-27 ^b May 3.87 3.55 8.35 3.83 3.63 6.57 3.91 3.70 5.45 3**-27 ^b May 0.02 0.07 N. S. 0.12 N. S. 0.11 - SE (m) 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 3.59 7.75 3.91 3.71 5.33 4.18 Average 3.91 3.56 7.75 3.91 3.71 5.72 3.96 4.18 Average 3.91 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.76 4.14 Bit 1098i 3.91 3.71 5.72	Date of sowing										
2^{44} -14 th May 3.93 3.69 6.11 3.97 3.75 5.57 4.01 3.82 4.69 3^{42} -27 th May 3.87 3.55 8.35 3.83 3.63 6.57 3.91 3.87 5.45 3^{42} -27 th May 3.87 3.55 8.35 3.88 3.63 6.57 3.91 3.70 5.45 CD (p=0.05) N. S. 0.07 N. S. 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.01 - - SE (m) 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 <td>1st-29th April</td> <td>3.97</td> <td>3.73</td> <td>6.09</td> <td>4.01</td> <td>3.83</td> <td>4.59</td> <td>4.03</td> <td>3.89</td> <td>3.40</td> <td>4.69</td>	1 st -29 th April	3.97	3.73	6.09	4.01	3.83	4.59	4.03	3.89	3.40	4.69
$3^{42}-7^{16}$ May 3.87 3.55 8.35 3.835 3.88 3.63 6.57 3.91 3.70 5.45 CD (p=0.05)N. S.0.07N. S.0.012N. S.0.11 $ -$ SE (m)0.020.020.020.030.030.030.03 $ -$ SE (m)0.020.020.020.030.030.03 $ -$ Average $-$ 0.020.020.020.03 $ -$ Average $ -$ Average $ -$ Average $ -$ Average $ -$ Average $ -$ Average $ -$ Average $ -$ <t< td=""><td>2nd-14th May</td><td>3.93</td><td>3.69</td><td>6.11</td><td>3.97</td><td>3.75</td><td>5.57</td><td>4.01</td><td>3.82</td><td>4.69</td><td>5.46</td></t<>	2nd- 14 th May	3.93	3.69	6.11	3.97	3.75	5.57	4.01	3.82	4.69	5.46
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3rd- 27th May	3.87	3.55	8.35	3.88	3.63	6.57	3.91	3.70	5.45	6.79
SE (m) 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 Average 6.85 6.85 5.58 6.03 0.03 0.03 4.51 Average 6.85 3.90 3.59 7.75 3.91 3.71 5.33 3.95 3.79 4.18 Val Bt 4.12 3.79 8.01 4.15 3.89 6.30 4.17 3.96 5.06 JAI Bt 4.12 3.70 8.01 4.15 3.89 6.30 4.17 3.96 5.06 JAI Bt 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.70 5.72 3.96 5.06 H 1098i 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.70 4.17 3.96 4.16 H 1098i 3.70 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.06 4.16 H 1098i 3.70 3.62 4.51 3.86 4.63 5.06 CD (p=0.05) 0.08 0.012 0.03 0.012 0.03	CD (p=0.05)	N. S.	0.07		N. S.	0.12		N. S.	0.11	ı	
Average 6.85 6.85 5.58 5.58 4.51 Cultivars 5.33 3.95 7.75 3.91 3.71 5.33 3.95 4.18 SP 7007 3.90 3.59 7.75 3.91 3.71 5.33 3.95 4.18 JAI Bt 4.12 3.79 8.01 4.15 3.89 6.30 4.17 3.96 5.06 JAI Bt 3.91 3.65 6.75 3.93 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.18 JAI Bt 3.76 3.73 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.14 JAI Bt 3.76 3.73 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.14 H 1098i 3.76 3.73 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.66 4.63 H 1300 3.76 3.73 3.62 4.51 3.84 3.66 4.63 CD (p=0.05) 0.08 0.12 N. S. 0.12 N. S. 0.13 0.03 0.13 SE (m) 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.43	SE (m)	0.02	0.02		0.03	0.03		0.03	0.03		
CultivarsCultivars 3.90 3.59 7.75 3.91 3.71 5.33 3.95 3.79 4.18 JAI Bt 4.12 3.79 8.01 4.15 3.89 6.30 4.17 3.96 5.06 H 1098i 3.91 3.65 6.75 3.93 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.14 H 1098i 3.76 6.75 3.93 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.14 H 1300 3.76 3.58 4.76 3.79 3.62 4.51 3.84 3.66 4.63 CD (p=0.05) 0.08 0.12 N. S. 0.12 $N. S.$ 0.12 0.03 0.04 0.03 SE (m) 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.043 5.47 5.47 4.50	Average			6.85			5.58			4.51	5.65
SP 7007 3.90 3.59 7.75 3.91 3.71 5.33 3.95 3.79 4.13 JAI Bt 4.12 3.79 8.01 4.15 3.89 6.30 4.17 3.96 5.06 H 1098i 3.91 3.65 6.75 3.93 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.60 4.14 H 1008i 3.70 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.14 H 1300 3.76 3.79 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.14 H 1300 3.76 3.79 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.60 4.16 CD (p=0.05) 0.08 0.12 $N.S.$ 0.12 $N.S.$ 0.12 0.03 0.13 CD (p=0.05) 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.13 Ke (m) 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04	Cultivars										
JAI Bt4.123.798.014.153.896.304.173.965.06H 1098i3.913.65 6.75 3.93 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.14 H 1300 3.76 3.58 4.76 3.79 3.62 4.51 3.84 3.66 4.63 CD (p=0.05) 0.08 0.12 N. S. 0.12 N. S. 0.12 0.03 0.13 SE (m) 0.03 0.04 0.43 5.47 0.03 0.43 4.50 4.50	SP 7007	3.90	3.59	7.75	3.91	3.71	5.33	3.95	3.79	4.18	5.75
H 1098i 3.91 3.65 6.75 3.93 3.71 5.72 3.96 3.80 4.14 H 1300 3.76 3.58 4.76 3.79 3.62 4.51 3.84 3.66 4.63 CD (p=0.05) 0.08 0.12 N. S. 0.12 0.09 0.13 5.66 4.63 SE (m) 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.43 0.03 0.04 4.50 Average 6.825.474.51	JAI Bt	4.12	3.79	8.01	4.15	3.89	6.30	4.17	3.96	5.06	6.46
H 1300 3.76 3.58 4.76 3.79 3.62 4.51 3.84 3.66 4.63 CD (p=0.05) 0.08 0.12 N. S. 0.12 0.09 0.13 SE (m) 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.43 0.04 0.04 Average 6.82 5.47 5.47 4.50	H 1098i	3.91	3.65	6.75	3.93	3.71	5.72	3.96	3.80	4.14	5.54
CD (p=0.05) 0.08 0.12 N. S. 0.12 0.09 0.13 SE (m) 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.43 0.04 0.04 Average 6.82 5.47 4.50	H 1300	3.76	3.58	4.76	3.79	3.62	4.51	3.84	3.66	4.63	4.63
SE (m) 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.43 0.03 0.04 Average 6.82 5.47 7.40 4.50	CD (p=0.05)	0.08	0.12		N. S.	0.12		0.09	0.13		
Average 6.82 5.47 4.50	SE (m)	0.03	0.04		0.03	0.43		0.03	0.04		
	Average			6.82			5.47			4.50	5.60

Boll weight (g) of healthy and diseased plants and percentage reduction in boll weight due to CLCuD in different cotton cultivars on Table 3.

DAS= Days after sowing

Maharshi, Yadav and Beniwal

Table 4. Seed cotton	yield (g/plant	c) of healthy	and diseased	l plants and	percentage	reduction in	yield due to	CLCuD in d	lifferent cotto	n cultivars
on different	date of sowii	ng								
Treatment	1 st Stage	(60 DAS)	Loss/	2 nd Stage	e (90 DAS)	Loss/	3rd Stage	(120 DAS)	Loss/	Mean
	Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	Healthy	Diseased	reduction (%)	per cent reduction
Date of sowing										
1st-29th April	149.37	117.47	21.36	151.32	132.45	12.47	152.92	142.34	6.92	13.58
2nd- 14 th May	144.75	108.50	25.05	146.83	122.51	16.56	149.36	137.54	7.91	16.51
3rd- 27 th May	142.35	91.85	35.48	143.53	109.85	23.47	146.01	125.48	14.06	24.33
CD (p=0.05)	N. S.	14.70		N. S.	12.50		N. S.	12.02		
SE (m)	2.29	3.66		2.53	3.11		2.63	2.98		
Average			27.30			17.50			9.63	18.14
Cultivars										
SP 7007	180.46	122.92	31.89	182.41	147.66	19.05	185.81	164.96	11.22	20.72
JAI Bt	172.03	116.50	32.28	173.66	135.50	21.98	175.46	154.19	12.12	22.13
H 1098i	112.91	90.71	19.66	114.08	97.79	14.28	115.47	108.24	6.26	13.40
H 1300	116.56	93.62	19.68	118.76	105.47	11.19	120.98	113.09	6.52	12.46
CD (p=0.05)	7.16	12.28		7.50	11.35		19.95	12.28		
SE (m)	2.39	4.10		2.52	3.79		3.01	4.10		
Average			25.88			16.63			9.03	17.18

DAS= Days after sowing

reduced seed cotton yield progressively (Hussain *et al.*, 2015). Swami *et al.*, (2016) also found the significant role of early sowing to reduce CLCuD appearance. Similarly, it was also found that yield and yield attributes was significantly higher in early sown crop as compared to late sown conditions (Farooq *et al.*, 2011). Saeed *et al.*, (2014) reported that CLCuD exhibited negative and significant association with seed cotton yield and number of bolls.

The per cent reduction was more in Bt cultivars as compared to non Bt cultivars in all the stages of infection. This may be due to more PDI of cotton leaf curl disease in both the Bt cotton cultivars than non *Bt* cultivars as *Bt* cultivars are more prone to viral and white fly attack as compare to non-Bt cultivars.Similarly, Monga et al., (2011) reported the percent loss in seed cotton yield due to CLCuD founded maximum in hybrid Jai *Bt* BG (54.1) followed by MRC 7361 BG (48.8) and Solar 76 BG (46.8). SCY reduction ranging from 15.7 to 56.7 per cent in popularly grown Bt cotton hybrids at different locations (Monga, 2014). All the aspects of research conclude as the CLCuD has a potential threat to cotton growing belt of India. CLCuD can be controlled by appropriate varietal selection and proper sowing time.

REFERENCES

Ali, H., Hussain, G.S., Hussain, S., Shahzad. A.N., Ahmed, S., Javeed, H.M.R. and Sarwar, N.
2014. Early sowing reduces cotton leaf curl virus occurence and improves cotton productivity. *CercetāriAgronomiceîn Moldova* XLVII : 160.

- Anonymous 2016. "Annual Report 2015-16", All India Coordinated Cotton Improvement Project.
- Farooq, A., Farooq, J., Mahmood, A., Batool, A., Rehman, A., Shakeel, A., Riaz, M., Shahid, M.T.H. and Mehboob, S. 2011. An overview of cotton leaf curl virus disease (CLCuD) a serious threat to cotton productivity. Aust. J. Crop Sci., 5 : 1823-31.
- Hundal, S.K., Kang, S.S. and Sekhon, P.S. 2011. Effect of inoculum source, plant age and temperature on the development of cotton leaf curl. *Pl. Dis. Res.* 26 : 87-89.
- Hussain, S., Mahmood, T., Tahir, M., Mahmood,
 H.T. and Afzal M.N. 2015. Differential Effect of Planting Time on Cotton Leaf Curl Disease (Clcud) and Yield of Cotton Variety Cim-598 (Gossypium hirsutumL.) Int. J. Novel Res. in Life Sci. 2 : 1-7.
- Maharshi, A., Yadav, N.K., Beniwal, J. and Swami,
 P. 2016. Screening of germplasms against cotton leaf curl disease under natural epiphytotic environment. J. Pure App. Microbiol. 10: 671-75.
- Monga, D. 2014. Cotton Leaf Curl Virus Disease. *Tech. Bull.*, Publshed by Director,Central Institute for Cotton Research, Nagpur 34p.
- Nadeem, M.A., Ali, A., Tahir, M., Naeem, M., Chadhar, A.R. and Ahmad, S. 2010. Effect of nitrogen levels and plant spacing on growth and yield of cotton. Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci. 8: 121-24.
- Saeed F., Farooq J., Mahmood A., Riaz M., Hussain T. and Majeed A. 2014. Assessment of genetic diversity for Cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuD), fiber quality and

some morphological traits using different statistical procedures in *Gossypium hirsutum*L.*Aust. J. Crop Sci.* **8**: 442-47.

- Swami, P., Maharshi, A. and Ram Niwas 2016.Effect of weather variability on phenological stages and growth indices in Bt-cotton under CLCuD incidence. J. Pure App. Microbiol. 10: 1629-32.
- Yadav, N.K., Nirania K.S. and Maharshi, A. 2016.Evaluation of cotton germplasm for source of resistance against cotton leaf curl disease. J. Pure App. Microbiol. 10 : 1233-42.

Received for publication : April 10, 2017 Accepted for publication : May 19, 2018