

Crop growth rate optimum leaf area index quantification and identification of suitable spacing for HDPS - a case study in cotton for Telangana

RAMESH THATIKUNTA*, Y. JANAKI RAMULU, B. SANTHOSH, B. LAVANYA, V. GOURI SHANKAR, S. A. HUSSAIN AND D.V.V. REDDY

Department of Crop Physiology, College of Agriculture, Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad - 500 030

 ${}^{*\!E\text{-}mail:that ikuntaramesh} @gmail.com$

ABSTRACT : Cotton cultivation has been steady in Telangana state in 2016 and 2017. Optimum leaf area index (LAI), maximum crop growth rate (CGR), suitable spacing and genotype have been identified in the present study in High Density Planting System (HDPS). LAI was observed to be influenced by sampling time, genotypes and spacings. Deltapine 9121 followed by WGCV 48 at 75 x 10 cm spacing recorded maximum LAI (5.0, 4.5). Maximum CGR (2.4 g/plant/day) was recorded at boll initiation with 75 x 10 cm in H 4. Optimum LAI (2.9) was recorded in H 4 between flowering to boll initiation stage (60-90 DAS). Deltapine 9121 followed by WGCV 48 in 75 x 10 cm recorded maximum boll number (8, 7.2) and boll weight (2.9, 2.5g). Decrease in spacing resulted in decreased contribution of boll number and weight to SCY. Maximum SCY was recorded in Deltapine 9121 at 75 x 10 (2888 kg/ha) followed by 60 x 10 cm (2394 kg/ha).

Key Words : Crop growth rate, HDPS, optimum leaf area index, seed cotton yield

In India in the last three years (2014-2015 to 2016-2017) area under cotton shows a decrease (128.5, 118.8 and 105 la ha) with a dwindling productivity (566, 484 and 568 kg/ ha). In Telangana state in the last two years (2015-2016 to 2016-2017) little improvement was recorded in cotton area (17.1 and 17.8 la ha) and productivity (566 and 569 kg/ha) (Anonymous, 2017). Bt hybrids have been cultivated in the country predominantly. Farmers incur a lot of amount towards procurement of hybrid seed. The objective therefore has been to replace the hybrid technology with suitable varieties. Towards reduction of seed cost new techniques have been promoted like High Density Planting System (HDPS) also referred to as Ultra Narrow Row (UNR) spacing.

HDPS has been referred to as planting at

a closer spacing than the recommended one using certain special techniques with the sole objective of obtaining maximum productivity/ unit area without sacrificing quality. HDPS has been one of the most important advances in fiber production all over the world.

Agrotechniques which aim at improvement in productivity include selection of suitable genotypes and spacing. Genotypes for full expression of genetic potential have to fit into the length of growing season. Attainment of maximum leaf area, crop growth rate, dry matter accumulation and its partitioning into bolls decide the physiological efficiency and productivity. The present study was undertaken to understand the growth pattern of cotton genotypes and for identification of spacing requirement and suitable varieties *vis a vis* hybrids for Telangana state.

A field experiment was conducted at College Farm, College of Agriculture, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad representing southern Telangana agroclimatic zone during kharif, 2015-2016. Rainfall received in the season amounted to 763 mm. Gross plot size for each treatment was 9.0 x 2.0 m². Recommended dose of 90 N, $45 P_2O_5$ and $45 K_2O$ kg/ha was applied in the form of Urea, SSP and MOP respectively. P₂O₅ was applied entirely as basal dose at the time of sowing. K₂O was applied in two splits and nitrogen was applied in three splits viz., sowing, maximum vegetative stage and boll initiation stage. Experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications, three spacings as main plots ($S_1 - 75 \times 10 \text{ cm}$, S_{-2} - 60 x 10 cm and S_{3} - 45 x 10 cm) and sub plots genotypes. Rrepresentative plants were destructively sampled from each plot at 30 DAS (square), 60 DAS (flower initiation), 90 DAS (boll formation) and 120 DAS (harvest stage). CGR was calculated to estimate the production efficiency. LAI defined as the one sided green leaf area/ unit ground surface area in broad leaf canopies was calculated for all the cotton spacings and expressed as leaf area / ground area. Yield attributes quantified included bolls / plant, boll weight (g) and seed cotton yield (SCY).

Cotton varieties have been known to genetically produce varied leaf area. LAI was significantly influenced in HDPS by sampling time, genotypes and spacings (Table 1). LAI values showed an increase at 60 DAS (0.9 - 4.0), reached maximum at 90 DAS (1.1 to 5.0) and tapered by 120 DAS (0.7 - 2.6). Interactions between spacing and genotype proved to be significant. At 90DAS Deltapine 9121 at 75 x 10 cm spacing recorded maximum LAI (5.0) followed by WGLV 48 (4.5). AKKA-*Bt* cotton sown at 90 x 45 cm recorded high LAI (1.69) (Nalwade *et al.*, 2013). LAI values showed a decrease (0.69, 0.62) with increase in plant spacing of 90 x 60 and 180 x 30 cm (Pendharkar *et al.*, 2010). High yields were reported in genotypes with maximum leaf area (Tayade *et al.*, 2011). Production of optimum LAI with maximum CGR appears critical to improve productivity.

Biomass formed / unit area of land has more practical relevance than productivity/ plant. In terms of total dry matter production by a crop or by a crop community, LAI and photosynthetic rate appear to be the major CGR determinants. CGR/plant was significantly influenced by sampling time, plant spacings and genotypes under HDPS. CGR value was non significant after 100 DAS at all other plant spacings. Vineela et al., (2013) reported wide variability in CGR which indicated the amenability of the trait towards directional selection. CGR peak was recorded at boll initiation (2.06 g/m⁻² /day). CGR was minimum $(0.5 \text{ g/m}^{-2}/\text{day})$ at low plant density and maximum (2.3 g/m⁻² /day) at high plant density in 45 cm spacing in early and late sown crop respectively. Maximum CGR (2.4 g/plant/day) was recorded at boll initiation with spacing of 75 x 10 in H4 (Table 2). This indicates that sampling time, spacing, genotype and sowing time appear to be critical to attain maximum CGR. Deotalu et al., (2013) reported a positive correlation where in maximum dry matter production/plant (71.04 g) was recorded in wider row spacing of 60 x 45 cm and less at spacing of 60 x 30 cm (56.71 g). Baskaran and Kavimani, (2015) reported less influence on dry matter production/plant owing to less photosynthetic rate in narrow plant spacing and less transportation of photosynthetic assimilates to the plant parts.

The present study revealed the occurrence of maximum values for CGR (2.4 g/

GENOTYPES WGCV 48	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S			DA3			06	90 DAS			120	120 DAS	
WGCV 48			Spacings (cm)		Mean	Sp	Spacings (cm)		Mean		Spacings (cm)		Mean
NGCV 48		S1	S2	S3		S1	S2	S3		S1	S2	S3	
0001 111010		4.0	3.2	2.0	3.1	4.5	3.7	2.3	3.5	2.6	2.2	1.4	2.1
NULLI 1930		3.0	3.2	1.9	2.7	3.5	3.1	1.9	2.8	2.1	1.8	1.1	1.7
H 4		2.8	2.7	1.4	2.3	2.9	2.6	1.6	2.4	1.7	1.6	1.0	1.4
SURAJ		1.8	1.7	1.2	1.5	2.5	2.5	1.4	2.1	1.5	1.5	0.8	1.3
ADB 39		1.6	1.5	1.0	1.4	2.6	2.3	1.2	2.1	1.5	1.4	0.7	1.2
ANJALI		2.2	1.8	1.2	1.7	2.3	2.1	1.2	1.9	1.4	. 1.3	0.7	1.1
ADB 542		1.9	1.5	0.9	1.4	2.4	2.1	1.4	1.9	1.4	. 1.2	0.8	1.1
NARASIMHA		4.0	3.0	1.6	2.8	2.1	1.8	1.1	1.6	1.2	1.0	0.7	1.0
DELTAPINE Mean	9121	2.8	2.3	1.5 1 .4	2.2	5.0 3.1	4.1 2.7	2.5 1.6	3.9	1.1	1.2	0.9	1.1
Gi-Gj	SE(G)-0.003		CD(G)-0.012		Gi-Gj	SE(G)-0.002		CD(G)-0.012	Gi	Gi-Gj	SE(G)-0.003		CD(G)-0.012
o:_o;	SF(S)-000			U	o:_o;	SF(S)-000			.0	o:_o;	SF(S)-001	-	
Gisi-Gisj	SE(G x S)-0.004	04	$CD(G \times S)-0.013$		GiSi-GiSj	SE(G x S)-	.004	D(G x S)-0.013		GiSi-GiSj	SE(G x S)-C	.003	A x S)-0.010
			60 DAS				06	DAS			120	DAS	
GENOTYPES	S		Spacings		Mean		Spacings	SS	Mean		Spacings	S	Mean
		S1	S2	S3		$\mathbf{S1}$	S2	S3		S1		S3	
WGCV 48		1.3	1.1	0.8	1.1	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.2	1.1	0.9	1.1
NDLH 1938		1.1	1.0	0.8	1.0	2.3	1.2	1.5	1.7	1	0.9	0.7	0.9
H 4		0.8	0.6	0.8	0.7	2.4	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.1	1	0.8	1.0
SURAJ		0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7	2.2	1.8	1.1	1.7	1.1	1	0.8	1.0
ADB 39		0.7	0.4	0.6	0.6	1.7	1.5	1.0	1.4	1.2	1.1	0.9	1.1
ANJALI		0.9	0.5	0.5	0.6	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.2	1	0.0	0.7	0.9
ADB 542		0.8	0.5	0.5	0.6	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.6
NARASIMHA		0.7	0.4	0.4	0.5	1.1	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.7
DELTAPINE	9121	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.6	1.8	1.7	1.4	1.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.6
Mean		0.8	0.6	0.6		1.8	1.4	1.2		1.0	0.9	0.7	
Gi-Gj Si-Si	SE(G)-0.003 SE(S)-0.002		CD(G)-0.012 CD(S)-0.007	0 0	Gi-Gj Si-Si	SE(G)-0.002 SE(S)-0.002		CD(G)-0.012 CD(S)-0.007	5	Gi-Gj Si-Si	SE(G)-0.003 SE(S)-0.001		CD(G)-0.012 CD(S)-0.006
		100				い。 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、							
G1S1-G1SJ 0.0.0.0.0.	SE(G x S)-0.004	, ,	CD(G X S)-0.013		GISI-GISJ	SE(G X 2)-	S)-0.004 CD(G	SE(G X S)-0.004 CD(G X S)-0.013		GISI-GISJ	SE(G X S)-0.003 CD(G X S)-0.010	1003 CD(r	X SI-U.U

275

Indentification of suitable spacing for HDPS

		Boll numbr	br		Bo	Boll weight (g)	(g)		Seed	Seed cotton yield (kg/ha)	d (kg/ha)	
GENOTYPES		Spacings (cm)	Mean	n	Spacin	Spacings (cm)	Mean	an	Spa	Spacings (cm)	M	Mean
	S1	S2	S3		S1 S	S2 S	S3	S1	1	S2	S3	
WGCV 48	7.2	5.9	4.4 5.8	~	2.5 2.	2	.1	2.3 210	2169	1918 1	1761	1949
NDLH 1938	6.8	5.4	4.3 5.5	٥ı	2.5 2.	2	1.9 2.	2.2 2016	16	1738 1	1519 1	1758
H 4	6.8	5.3	4.2 5.4	4	2.4 2.1		1.6 2.	2.0 1921	21	1602 1	1271 1	1598
SURAJ	6.4	5.7	4.1 5.4	4	2.4 2.	2	1.9 2.	2.1 178	1784	1801 1	1471 1	1685
ADB 39	6.4	4.6	3.8 4.9	6	2.3 2.1		1.5 2.	2.0 17:	1728	1412 1	1113 1	1418
ANJALI	6.4	4.8	3.8 5.0	0	2.3 2.	0 1	1.5 1.	1.9 13(1362	1058 1	1356	
ADB 542	6.9	5.4	4.2 5.5	٥ı	2.4 2.1		1.7 2.	2.1 2060	60	1722 1	1438 1	1740
NARASIMHA	5.7	4.8	3.8 4.8	80	2.2 2.0		1.5 1.	1.9 16 ⁴	1644	1450 1	1160 1	1418
DELTAPINE	9121 8.0	6.0	4.6 6.2	0	2.9 2.6		2.1 2.	.5 2888		2394 2	2000 2	2427
Mean	6.7	5.3	4.1		2.5 2.	1 1.	1.8	1984	84	1711 1	1421	
Gi-Gj	SE(G)-0.003	CD(G)-0.012	Gi-Gj	SE	SE(G)-0.002	CD(G)-0.012	.012	Gi-Gj	SE(G	SE(G)-0.003	CD(G)-0.012	12
Gi-Gj	SE(G)-0.31	CD(G)-0.91	Si-Sj	SE	SE(S)-0.05	CD(S)-0.14	.14	GiSi-GiSj		SE(G x S)-0.14	CD(G x S)-0.41)-0.41
GiSi-GjSi	SE(G x S)-0.33	CD(G x S)-0.97	7 Gi-Gj	SE	SE(G)-0.03	CD(G)-0.08	.08	Si-Sj	SE(S)	SE(S)-0.04	CD(S)-0.10	0
GiSi-GiSj	SE(G x S)-0.11	CD(G x S)-0.30	0 GiSi-GjSi		SE(G x S)-0.09	CD(G x S)-0.26	S)-0.26	Gi-Gj	SE(G	SE(G)-30.42	CD(G)-30.26	26
Si-Sj	SE(S)-31.13	CD(S)-32.37	GiSi-GiSj		SE(G x S)-25.39 CD(G x S)-24.12	19 CD(G x	S)-24.12	GiSi-GjSi		SE(G x S)-25.53 CD(G x S)-24.56	CD(G x S)	-24.56

HDPS
under
yield of cotton genotypes u
cotton
of
yield
is and seed cotton y
seed
and
attributes
Yield
ы.
Table

276

plant/day) at LAI (2.9) in H4 (optimum LAI) between flowering to boll initiation stage (60-90 DAS). Deltapine 9121 hybrid showed typical character of growth cessation at 90 DAS making it amenable for complete maturity of all bolls (Janaki Ramulu, 2016). Cotton being an indeterminate crop, initiation of flowers signals cessation of vegetative structures. This also facilitates diversion of assimilates to sinks. Favourable nutrient or moisture conditions that favour new growth facilitate new or additional sinks. This coupled with high dry matter partitioning resulted in high yields in Deltapine 9121 and WGCV 48.

Present study revealed that spacing and genotypes influenced the SCY. Jadhav et al., (2015) reported that boll weight to be significantly influenced by plant geometries. Singh et al., (2012) reported a positive correlation of SCY with plant geometries. Alse and Jadhav (2011) reported maximum boll weight (3.48 g) in wider spacing of 150 x 36 cm, followed by 120 x 45 cm (3.28 g) and 180 x 30 cm (3.10 g). Deltapine 9121 followed by WGLV-48 in 75 x 10 cm spacing recorded maximum boll number (8, 7.2) and boll weight (2.9, 2.5g). Decrease in spacing resulted in decreased contribution of boll to SCY (Table 3). Maximum SCY was recorded in Deltapine 9121 at 75 x 10 (2888 kg/ha). Aziz et al. (2011) however reported decreased yield with wider spacing of 90×45 cm (960 kg/ha).

Wider spacing of 75 x 10 cm enabled full expression of seed cotton yield of Deltapine 9121 followed by WGCV 48. Optimum LAI was recorded in H 4 that resulted in improved yield and yield attributes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors gratefully acknowledge the support for the work carried under the grant

provided by ICAR, CICR, Technology Mission on Cotton, Nagpur.

REFERENCES

- Alse, U.N. and Jadhav, A.S. 2011. Agronomic efficiency of *Bt* and non-*Bt* cotton hybrids under irrigated condition. *J Cotton Res Dev.* 25 : 38-41.
- Anonymous 2017. Cotton Corporation of India. Cotton. 27 March 2017. http://www.http://cotcorp.gov.in/national-cotton.aspx.
- Aziz, M., Ahmed, J.U., Mortuza, M.G.G., Rahman, M.T and Jabber, A. 2011. Yield and fibre quality of some cotton genotypes as affected by population density. Int. J. Agric. Environ. Biotech. 4 : 185-91.
- **Baskaran, R and Kavimani, R. 2015.** Effect of conservation tillage and supplemental drip irrigation on growth, yield attributes and yield of *Bt* cotton. *Res. Crops.* **16** : 85-89.
- Deotalu, A.S., Kubde, K.J., Paslawar, A.N., Chaudhari, D.P and Tiwari, V.A. 2013. Growth and yield of hirsutum varieties as influenced by plant spacing and fertilizer levels under rainfed condition. *Ann. Plant Physiol.* 27 : 30-32.
- Jadhav, S.G., Chavan, D.A., Gokhale, D.N and Nayak, S.K. 2015. Influence of plant geometry, growth regulator and nutrient management on performance of *Bt* cotton under irrigated condition. *Int. J. Trop. Agric.* 33: 1755-59.
- Janaki Ramulu, Y. 2016. Identification cotton growth stages and growth pattern studies in cotton genotypes. *M.Sc. Thesis. (PJTSAU*,) Hyderabad.

- Nalwade, A.N., Amarshettiwr, S.B., Durge, D.V., Shamkuwar, G.R and Tumdam, J. 2013. Evaluation of *Bt* cotton (BG II) hybrids for morpho-physiological traits under rainfed condition. *Ann*. *Plant Physiol.* 27 : 11-15.
- Pendharkar, A.B., Kalhapure, A.M., Solunke, S.S and Alse, U.N. 2010. Response of Bt cotton hybrids to different plant spacing under rainfed condition. Ann. Plant Physiol. 24 : 25-27.
- Singh, J., Babar, S., Abraham, S., Venugopalan, M.V and Majumdar, G. 2012. Fertilization of high density, rainfed cotton grown on vertisols of India. *Better Crops.* 96 : 26-28.

- Tayade, A.S., Raju. A.R and Dhoble, M.V. 2011. Study on correlation and path coefficient analysis in *Bt* and non-*Bt* hybrids. (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). J. Cotton Res. Dev. **25** : 147-51.
- Vineela, N., Murthy, J.S.V.S., Ramakumar, P.V and Kumar, S.N. 2013. Variability studies for physio morphological and yield components traits in american cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). IOSR J Agric Vet Sci.. 4 : PP 07-10.

Received for publication : December 9, 2016 Accepted for publication : April 4, 2017